



TITLE:

IMPLICIT VISCOSITY ITERATIVE ALGORITHM  
FOR THE SPLIT EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM AND  
THE FIXED POINT PROBLEM FOR ONE-  
PARAMETER NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS  
(Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

田中, 環

---

CITATION:

田中, 環. IMPLICIT VISCOSITY ITERATIVE ALGORITHM FOR THE SPLIT EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM AND THE FIXED POINT PROBLEM FOR ONE-PARAMETER NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS (Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録 2014, 1923: 10-18: KJ00009567859.

ISSUE DATE:

2014-11

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/223466>

RIGHT:

# IMPLICIT VISCOSITY ITERATIVE ALGORITHM FOR THE SPLIT EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEM AND THE FIXED POINT PROBLEM FOR ONE-PARAMETER NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS<sup>†</sup>

JITSUPA DEEPHO<sup>†</sup>, TANAKA TAMAKI<sup>‡</sup> AND POOM KUMAM<sup>‡</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce implicit iterative scheme for finding a common element of the split equilibrium problem and the fixed point problem for a set of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup  $\{T(s)|0 \leq s < \infty\}$  in real Hilbert spaces. We prove the sequence generated by the implicit viscosity iterative algorithm in Hilbert spaces under certain mild condition converge strongly to the common solution of the split equilibrium problem and the fixed point problem for a set of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups, which is the unique solution of a variational inequality problem.

**Keywords:** Fixed point problem, Nonexpansive semigroup, Strong convergence, Split equilibrium problem, Variational inequality, Viscosity approximation  
**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 47J25, 65J15, 90C33

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be real Hilbert spaces with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $C$  and  $Q$  be nonempty closed convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Recall, a mapping  $T$  with domain  $D(T)$  and range  $R(T)$  in  $H$  is called *nonexpansive* iff for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ ,  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ . A family  $S = \{T(s)|0 \leq s < \infty\}$  of mappings of  $C$  into itself is called a *one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup* on  $C$  iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $T(s+t) = T(s)T(t)$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $T(0) = I$ ;
- (b)  $\|T(s)x - T(s)y\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in C$  and  $s \geq 0$ ;
- (c) the mapping  $T(\cdot)x$  is continuous, for each  $x \in C$ .

The set of all the common fixed points of a family  $S$  is denoted by  $Fix(S)$ , i.e.,  $Fix(S) := \{x \in C : T(s)x = x, 0 \leq s < \infty\} = \bigcap_{0 \leq s < \infty} Fix(T(s))$ , where  $Fix(T(s))$  is the set of fixed points of  $T(s)$ . It is well known that  $Fix(S)$  is closed and convex. It is clear that  $T(s)T(t) = T(s+t) = T(t)T(s)$  for  $s, t \geq 0$ .

Recall that  $f$  is called to be *weakly contractive* [1] iff for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ ,  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| - \varphi(\|x - y\|)$ , for some  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a continuous and nondecreasing function such that  $\varphi$  is positive on  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . If  $\varphi(t) = (1-k)t$  for a constant  $k$  with  $0 < k < 1$  then  $f$  is called to be contraction. If  $\varphi(t) \equiv 0$ , then  $f$  is said to be nonexpansive.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$  and  $F : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction, where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem (for short, *EP*) to find  $x \in C$  such that for all  $y \in C$ ,

$$F(x, y) \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The set of solutions of (1.1) is denoted by  $EP(F)$ . Given a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow H$ , let  $F(x, y) = \langle Tx, y - x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . Then  $x \in EP(F)$  if and only if  $x \in C$  is a solution of the variational inequality  $\langle Tx, y - x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $y \in C$ .

<sup>†</sup> This work was supported by the Thailand Research Fund through the Royal Golden Jubilee Program under Grant PHD/0033/2554 and the King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi.

<sup>‡</sup> Corresponding author email: tamaki@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp (T. Tamaki), poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th (P. Kumam).

To study the equilibrium problems, we assume that the bifunction  $F : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1)  $F(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in C$ ;
- (A2)  $F$  is monotone, i.e.,  $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;
- (A3) for each  $x, y, z \in C$ ,  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} F(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq F(x, y)$ ;
- (A4) for each  $x \in C$  fixed, the function  $y \mapsto F(x, y)$  is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have recently been applied to solve convex minimization problems; see, e.g., [2, 3] and the references therein. Let  $B$  be a strongly positive linear bounded operator (i.e., there is a constant  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  such that  $\langle Bx, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma}\|x\|^2, \forall x \in H$ ), and  $T$  be a nonexpansive mapping on  $H$ . A typical problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space  $H$ :

$$\min_{x \in F(T)} \frac{1}{2} \langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

where  $F(T)$  is the fixed point set of the mapping  $T$  on  $H$  and  $b$  is a given point in  $H$ . Starting with an arbitrary initial  $x_0 \in H$ , define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively by

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n B)Tx_n + \alpha_n b, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (1.3)$$

It is proved [3] (see also [4]) that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.3) converges strongly to the unique solution of the minimization problem (1.2) provided the sequence satisfies certain conditions.

Recently, Moudafi [5] introduced the following *split equilibrium problem (SEP)*: Let  $F_1 : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be nonlinear bifunctions and  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator, then the *SEP* is to find  $x^* \in C$  such that

$$F_1(x^*, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C, \quad (1.4)$$

and such that

$$y^* = Ax^* \in Q \text{ solves } F_2(y^*, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in Q. \quad (1.5)$$

When looked separately, (1.4) is the classical *EP*, and we denoted its solution set by  $EP(F_1)$ . *SEP* (1.4)-(1.5) constitutes a pair of equilibrium problems which have to be solved so that the image  $y^* = Ax^*$ , under a given bounded linear operator  $A$ , of the solution  $x^*$  of *EP* (1.4) in  $H_1$  is the solution of another *EP* (1.5) in another space  $H_2$ , and we denote the solution set of *EP* (1.5) by  $EP(F_2)$ .

The solution set of *SEP* (1.4)-(1.5) is denoted by  $\Omega = \{p \in EP(F_1) : Ap \in EP(F_2)\}$ . The *SEP* (1.4)-(1.5) includes the split variational inequality problem which is the generalization of the split zero problem and the split feasibility problem (see, for instance, [5, 6, 7]).

In 2013, Kazmi and Rizvi [8] introduced implicit iteration method for finding a common solution of split equilibrium problem and fixed point problem for a nonexpansive semigroup.

Motivated by works of Moudafi [5], Kazmi and Rizvi [8], we suggest and analyze an implicit iterative method for approximation of a common solution of the split equilibrium problem and the fixed point problem for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup in a real Hilbert space.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  is said to be

- (i) *monotone*, if  $\langle Ux - Uy, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \forall x, y \in H_1$ ;
- (ii)  *$\alpha$ -inverse strongly monotone* (or,  *$\alpha$ -ism*), if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\langle Ux - Uy, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|Ux - Uy\|^2, \forall x, y \in H_1$ ;
- (iii) *firmly nonexpansive*, if is 1-ism.

**Definition 2.2.** A mapping  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  is said to be *averaged* if and only if it can be written as the average of the identity mapping and a nonexpansive mapping, i.e.,  $U := (1 - \alpha)I + \alpha V$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  is nonexpansive and  $I$  is the identity operator on  $H_1$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [5] *Let  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  be a nonlinear mapping. Then,*

- (i) If  $U = (1 - \alpha)D + \alpha V$ , where  $D : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  is averaged,  $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  is nonexpansive and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then  $U$  is averaged;
- (ii) The composite of finite many averaged mappings is averaged;
- (iii) If  $U$  is  $\tau$ -ism, then for  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\gamma U$  is  $\frac{\tau}{\gamma}$ -ism;
- (iv)  $U$  is averaged if and only if, its complement  $I - U$  is  $\tau$ -ism for some  $\tau > \frac{1}{2}$ .

For every point  $x \in H_1$ , there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$  denoted by  $P_C x$  such that

$$\|x - P_C x\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (2.1)$$

$P_C$  is called the *metric projection* of  $H_1$  onto  $C$ . It is well known that  $P_C$  is a nonexpansive mapping and is characterized by the following property:

$$\langle x - P_C x, y - P_C x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in H_1, y \in C. \quad (2.2)$$

Further, it is well known that every nonexpansive operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  satisfies, for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_1$ ,

$$\langle (x - T(x)) - (y - T(y)), T(y) - T(x) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(T(x) - x) - (T(y) - y)\|^2 \quad (2.3)$$

and therefore, we get, for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times \text{Fix}(T)$ ,

$$\langle x - T(x), y - T(y) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T(x) - x\|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

A set valued mapping  $M : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$  is called *monotone* if for all  $x, y \in H_1, u \in Mx$  and  $v \in My$  imply  $\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0$ . A monotone mapping  $M : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$  is *maximal* if the graph  $G(M)$  of  $M$  is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mappings. It is known that a monotone mapping  $M$  is maximal if and only if for  $(x, u) \in H_1 \times H_1, \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0$ , for every  $(y, v) \in G(M)$  implies  $u \in Bx$ . Let  $D : C \rightarrow H_1$  be an inverse strongly monotone mapping and let  $N_C x$  be the normal cone to  $C$  at  $x \in C$ , i.e.,  $N_C x := \{z \in H_1 : \langle y - x, z \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$ . Define

$$Mv = \begin{cases} Dv + N_C x, & \text{if } x \in C, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $M$  is maximal monotone and  $0 \in Mx$  if and only if  $v \in VI(C, M)$  (see [9] for more details).

**Lemma 2.4.** [8] Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H_1$  and let  $F_1 : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4). For  $r > 0$  and for all  $x \in H_1$ , define a mapping  $T_r^{F_1} : H_1 \rightarrow C$  as follows:

$$T_r^{F_1} x = \{z \in C : F_1(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (i)  $T_r^{F_1}(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in H_1$ ;
- (ii)  $T_r^{F_1}$  is single-valued;
- (iii)  $T_r^{F_1}$  is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.,  $\|T_r^{F_1} x - T_r^{F_1} y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r^{F_1} x - T_r^{F_1} y, x - y \rangle, \forall x, y \in H_1$ ;
- (iv)  $\text{Fix}(T_r^{F_1}) = EP(F_1)$ ;
- (v)  $EP(F_1)$  is closed and convex.

Further, assume that  $F_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (A1)-(A4). For  $s > 0$  and for all  $w \in H_2$ , define a mapping  $T_s^{F_2} : H_2 \rightarrow Q$  as follows:

$$T_s^{F_2}(w) = \{d \in Q : F_2(d, e) + \frac{1}{s} \langle e - d, d - w \rangle \geq 0, \forall e \in Q\}.$$

Then, we easily observe that  $T_s^{F_2}(w) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $w \in Q$ ;  $T_s^{F_2}$  is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive;  $EP(F_2, Q)$  is closed and convex and  $\text{Fix}(T_s^{F_2}) = EP(F_2, Q)$ , where  $EP(F_2, Q)$  is solution set of the following equilibrium problem: Find  $y^* \in Q$  such that  $F_2(y^*, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in Q$ . We observe that  $EP(F_2) \subset EP(F_2, Q)$ . Further, it is easy to prove that  $\Omega$  is closed and convex set.

**Lemma 2.5.** [10] Assume  $A$  is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  with coefficient  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  and  $0 < \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$ . Then  $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [11] Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $H$  and let  $S = \{T(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}$  be a nonexpansive semigroup on  $C$ , then for any  $h \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} \|\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds - T(h)(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x ds)\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [12] Let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $S = \{T(t) : 0 \leq t < \infty\}$  be a nonexpansive semigroup on  $C$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  satisfying the properties: (i)  $x_n \rightarrow z$ ; (ii)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x_n - x_n\| = 0$ , where  $x_n \rightarrow z$  denote that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $z$ , then  $z \in \text{Fix}(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [13] Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be two nonnegative real number sequences and  $\{\alpha_n\}$  a positive real number sequence satisfying the conditions  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$ . Let the recursive inequality  $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n - \alpha_n \psi(\lambda_n) + \beta_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be given, where  $\psi(\lambda)$  is a continuous and strict increasing function for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  converges to zero, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. IMPLICIT VISCOSITY ITERATIVE ALGORITHM

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two real Hilbert spaces and let  $C \subset H_1$  and  $Q \subset H_2$  nonempty closed convex sets. Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. Assume that  $F_1 : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are the bifunctions satisfying (A1)-(A4) and  $F_2$  is upper semicontinuous. Let  $f$  be a weakly contractive mapping with a function  $\varphi$  on  $H_1$ ,  $B$  a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with coefficient  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  on  $H_1$ ,  $S = \{T(s) : s \geq 0\}$  a one parameter nonexpansive semigroup on  $C$ , respectively. Assume that  $\text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ , then for any  $0 < \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma}$  and let sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{u_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  be generated by the following iterative algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} u_n = J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n), \\ z_n = (1 - \beta_n) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds + \beta_n u_n, \\ x_n = (I - \alpha_n B)z_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $r_n \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ ,  $L$  is the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$  and  $A^*$  is the adjoint of  $A$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are real sequences satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ; (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ; (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ; (iv)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$ .

Furthermore, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z^* \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  which is uniquely solves the following variational inequality

$$\langle (\gamma f - B)z^*, p - z^* \rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof. Step 1.* We will show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated from (3.1) is well defined and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we may assume, with no loss of generality, that  $\alpha_n < \|B\|^{-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $\alpha_n < \frac{1}{\gamma}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

First, we show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated from (3.1) is well defined. For each  $n \geq 1$ , define a mapping  $S_n^f$  in  $H_1$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^f x &:= (I - \alpha_n B) \left[ (1 - \beta_n) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) (J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_n (J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax)) \right] + \alpha_n \gamma f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since  $J_{r_n}^{F_1}$  and  $J_{r_n}^{F_2}$  both are firmly nonexpansive, they are averaged. For  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ , the mapping  $(I + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)A)$  is averaged, see [5]. It follow from Proposition 2.3 (ii) that the mapping  $J_{r_n}^{F_1}(I + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)A)$  is averaged and hence nonexpansive. For any  $x, y \in H$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n^f x - S_n^f y\| &\leq \|(I - \alpha_n B)\| \left\| (1 - \beta_n) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) (J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - T(s) (J_{r_n}^{F_1}(y + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ay)) \right\| ds + \beta_n \|J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax) \\ &\quad - J_{r_n}^{F_1}(y + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ay)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$-(J_{r_n}^{F_1}(y + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ay))\| + \alpha_n \gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})[(1 - \beta_n)\|x - y\| + \beta_n\|x - y\|] + \alpha_n \gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq [1 - \alpha_n(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma)]\|x - y\| - \alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x - y\|) \leq \|x - y\| - \psi(\|x - y\|),$$

where  $\psi(\|x - y\|) := \alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x - y\|)$ . This shows that  $S_n^f$  is a weakly contractive mapping with a function  $\psi$  on  $H_1$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5 of [14],  $S_n^f$  has a unique fixed point (say)  $x_n \in H_1$ . This means (3.1) has a unique solution for each  $n \geq 1$ , namely,

$$x_n = (I - \alpha_n B)[(1 - \beta_n)\frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds + \beta_n u_n] + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n).$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, for any  $p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$ , we have  $p = J_{r_n}^{F_1} p$ ,  $Ap = J_{r_n}^{F_2} Ap$  and  $p = T(s)p$ . We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n) - J_{r_n}^{F_1} p\|^2 \leq \|x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \delta^2 \|A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 + 2\delta \langle x_n - p, A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, we have

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \delta^2 \langle (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n, AA^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle + 2\delta \langle x_n - p, A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, we have

$$\delta^2 \langle (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n, AA^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle \leq L\delta^2 \langle (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n, (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle = L\delta^2 \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Denoting  $\Lambda := 2\delta \langle x_n - p, A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle$  and using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= 2\delta \langle x_n - p, A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle = 2\delta \langle A(x_n - p), (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle \\ &= 2\delta \langle A(x_n - p) + (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n - (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n, (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle \\ &= 2\delta \left\{ \langle J_{r_n}^{F_2} Ax_n - Ap, (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \rangle - \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq 2\delta \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 - \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 \right\} \leq -\delta \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \delta(L\delta - 1)\|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ , we obtain

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, setting  $g_n := \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds$ , we obtain

$$\|g_n - p\| = \left\| \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - p \right\| \leq \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} \|T(s)u_n - T(s)p\| ds \leq \|u_n - p\| = \|x_n - p\|. \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\|z_n - p\| = (1 - \beta_n)\|g_n - p\| + \beta_n\|u_n - p\| \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - p\| + \beta_n\|u_n - p\| = \|u_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \quad (3.10)$$

Further, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\|^2 &= \langle x_n - p, x_n - p \rangle \\ &= \langle (I - \alpha_n B)(z_n - p), x_n - p \rangle + \alpha_n \gamma \langle f(x_n) - f(p), x_n - p \rangle + \alpha_n \langle \gamma f(p) - Bp, x_n - p \rangle \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma)]\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \langle \gamma f(p) - Bp, x_n - p \rangle - \alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - p\|)\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \langle \gamma f(p) - Bp, x_n - p \rangle - \alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - p\|)\|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore,  $\varphi(\|x_n - p\|) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|$ , which implies that  $\{\varphi(\|x_n - p\|)\}$  is bounded. We obtain that  $\{(\|x_n - p\|)\}$  is bounded by property of  $\varphi$ . So  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and so are  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{z_n\}$ ,  $\{g_n\}$ ,  $\{Bz_n\}$  and  $\{f(x_n)\}$ .

**Step 2.** We claim that there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  of  $\{n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z^*$  and  $z^* \in \text{Fix}(S)$ . Indeed, for  $p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  and from (3.9), then  $\|g_n - p\| \leq \|u_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$ .

Since  $\{u_n\}, \{g_n\}, \{Bz_n\}, \{f(x_n)\}$  are bounded and the conditions  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n$ , we see that

$$\|z_n - g_n\| = \|(1 - \beta_n)g_n + \beta_n u_n - g_n\| = \beta_n \|u_n - g_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\|x_n - z_n\| = \|(I - \alpha_n B)z_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) - z_n\| = \alpha_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.13)$$

In view of (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain that

$$\|x_n - g_n\| \leq \|x_n - z_n\| + \|z_n - g_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $K_1 = \{\omega \in C : \varphi(\|\omega - p\|) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|\}$ , then  $K_1$  is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $C$  which is  $T(s)$ -invariant for each  $0 \leq s < \infty$  and contain  $\{x_n\} \subset K_1$ . So without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S := \{T(s) : 0 \leq s < \infty\}$  is nonexpansive semigroup on  $K_1$ . By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - T(s)g_n\| = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain that  $\|x_n - T(s)x_n\| \leq \|x_n - g_n\| + \|g_n - T(s)g_n\| + \|T(s)g_n - T(s)x_n\| \leq \|x_n - g_n\| + \|g_n - T(s)g_n\| + \|g_n - x_n\| \leq 2\|x_n - g_n\| + \|g_n - T(s)g_n\|$ , we arrive at

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T(s)x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, we know that there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z^*$ . By Lemma 2.7 and (3.16), we arrive at  $z^* \in \text{Fix}(S)$ .

In (3.11), interchange  $z^*$  and  $p$  to obtain  $\psi(\|x_{n_k} - z^*\|) \leq \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_{n_k} - z^* \rangle$ , where  $\psi(\|x_{n_k} - z^*\|) := \gamma \varphi(\|x_{n_k} - z^*\|) \|x_{n_k} - z^*\|$ . From  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z^*$ , we get that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\|x_{n_k} - z^*\|) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_{n_k} - z^* \rangle = 0.$$

Namely,  $\psi(\|x_{n_k} - z^*\|) \rightarrow 0$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) which implies that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z^*$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by the property of  $\psi$  and since  $\|x_n - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$  thus  $z_{n_k} \rightarrow z^*$ .

**Step 3.** We will show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0$ .

Further, we estimate by (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\|^2 &= \|(I - \alpha_n B)(z_n - p) + \alpha_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Bp)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|z_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - Bp + \gamma f(p) - \gamma f(p), x_n - p \rangle \\ &\leq (1 + (\alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 - 2\alpha_n \bar{\gamma}) \|u_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(p) - Bp, x_n - p \rangle \\ &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + (\alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|u_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \delta(L\delta - 1) \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 + (\alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, we may assume that  $\rho := \sup_{0 < n < 1} \|x_n - p\|$ . Therefore, (3.17) reduces to  $\delta(1 - L\delta) \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 \leq \alpha_n^2 \bar{\gamma}^2 \rho^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \rho^2 + 2\alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \rho = \alpha_n [\alpha_n \bar{\gamma}^2 \rho^2 + 2\gamma \varphi \rho^2 + 2\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \rho]$ . Further, since  $\delta(1 - L\delta) > 0$ ,  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , preceding inequality implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\| = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n) - T_{r_n}^{F_1} p\|^2 \leq \langle u_n - p, x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n - p\|^2 - \|(u_n - p) - [x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n - p]\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n - \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\| - \delta^2 \|A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\|^2 + 2\delta \|A(u_n - x_n)\| \|(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n - p\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 - \delta^2 \|A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n\| + 2\delta \|A(u_n - x_n)\| \| (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\delta \|A(u_n - x_n)\| \| (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{u_n\}$  are bounded and  $A$  is a bounded linear operator then  $\|A(u_n - x_n)\|$  is bounded and hence we may assume that  $l := \sup_{0 < n < 1} \|A(u_n - x_n)\|$ . It follows from (3.17) and (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n - p\|^2 &\leq \|u_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \bar{\gamma}^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq [\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\delta \|A(u_n - x_n)\| \| (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \|] + \alpha_n^2 \bar{\gamma}^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \|x_n - p\| \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\delta l \| (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \| + \alpha_n Q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q := (2\gamma\varphi + \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}^2)\rho^2 + 2\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|\rho$ . Therefore, from (3.18) and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\|u_n - x_n\|^2 \leq 2\delta l \| (J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n \| + \alpha_n Q \rightarrow 0, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

This implies that  $\|u_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ .

**Step 4.** We will show that  $z^* \in \Omega$ , where  $z^*$  is obtain in Step 2.

First, we show that  $z^* \in EP(F_1)$ . Since  $u_n = J_{r_n}^{F_1} x_n$ , we have  $F_1(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\forall y \in C$ . It follows from monotonicity of  $F_1$  that  $\frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq F_1(y, u_n)$  and hence  $\left\langle y - u_n, \frac{u_n - x_n}{r_n} \right\rangle \geq F_1(y, u_n)$ . Since  $\|u_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z^*$ , we get  $u_{n_k} \rightarrow z^*$  and  $\frac{u_{n_k} - x_{n_k}}{r_{n_k}} \rightarrow 0$ . It follows by (A4) that  $0 \geq F_1(y, z^*)$ ,  $\forall z^* \in C$ . For  $t$  with  $0 < \zeta \leq 1$  and  $y \in C$ , let  $y_\zeta = \zeta y + (1 - \zeta)z^*$ . Since  $y \in C$ ,  $z^* \in C$ , we get  $y_\zeta \in C$ , and hence,  $F_1(y_\zeta, z^*) \leq 0$ . So, from (A1) and (A4), we have  $0 = F_1(y_\zeta, y_\zeta) \leq \zeta F_1(y_\zeta, y) + (1 - \zeta)F_1(y_\zeta, z^*) \leq \zeta F_1(y_\zeta, y)$ . Therefore  $0 \leq F_1(y_\zeta, y)$ . From (A3), we have  $0 \leq F_1(z^*, y)$ . This implies that  $z^* \in EP(F_1)$ .

Next, we show that  $Az^* \in EP(F_2)$ . Since  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z^*$  and  $A$  is bounded linear operator,  $Ax_{n_k} \rightarrow Az^*$ . Now, setting  $v_{n_k} = Ax_{n_k} - J_{r_{n_k}}^{F_2} Ax_{n_k}$ . It follows that from (3.18) that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n_k} = 0$  and  $Ax_{n_k} - v_{n_k} = J_{r_{n_k}}^{F_2} Ax_{n_k}$ . Therefore from Lemma 2.4, we have  $F_2(Ax_{n_k} - v_{n_k}, z) + \frac{1}{r_{n_k}} \langle z - (Ax_{n_k} - v_{n_k}), (Ax_{n_k} - v_{n_k}) - Ax_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\forall z \in Q$ . Since  $F_2$  is upper semicontinuous in the first argument, taking limsup to above inequality as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using condition (iv), we obtain  $F_2(Az^*, z) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall z \in Q$ , which means that  $Az^* \in EP(F_2)$  and hence  $z^* \in \Omega$ .

**Step 5.** We claim that  $z^*$  is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.2).

Firstly, we show the uniqueness of the solution to the variational inequality (3.2) in  $Fix(S) \cap \Omega$ . In fact, suppose that  $a, b \in Fix(S) \cap \Omega$  satisfy (3.2), we see that

$$\langle (B - \gamma f)a, a - b \rangle \leq 0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\langle (B - \gamma f)b, b - a \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Adding these two inequalities (3.20) and (3.21) yields

$$0 \geq \langle B(a - b), a - b \rangle - \gamma \langle f(a) - f(b), a - b \rangle \geq (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma) \|a - b\|^2 + \gamma \varphi(\|a - b\|) \|a - b\|,$$

thus  $\varphi(\|a - b\|) \leq \frac{\gamma - \bar{\gamma}}{\gamma} \|a - b\|$ . From  $\frac{\gamma - \bar{\gamma}}{\gamma} \leq 0$ , we get that  $\varphi(\|a - b\|) \leq 0$ . By the property of  $\varphi$ , we must have  $a = b$  and the uniqueness is proved.

Next, we show that  $z^*$  is a solution in  $Fix(S) \cap \Omega$  to the variational inequality (3.2). Indeed, since  $x_n = (I - \alpha_n B)(1 - \beta_n) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds + (I - \alpha_n B)\beta_n u_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n)$ , we can rewrite that  $Bx_n - \gamma f(x_n) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_n} (I - \alpha_n B)(1 - \beta_n) (I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)u_n + \frac{1}{\alpha_n} [(I - \alpha_n B)u_n - (I - \alpha_n B)x_n]$ .

For any  $p \in Fix(S) \cap \Omega$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle B(x_n) - \gamma f(x_n), u_n - p \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1 - \beta_n}{\alpha_n} \langle (I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)u_n - (I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)p, u_n - p \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$



$$+(1-\beta_n)\langle B(I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)u_n, u_n - p \rangle + \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \langle u_n - x_n, u_n - p \rangle + \langle Bx_n - Bu_n, u_n - p \rangle.$$

Now, we consider the right side of (3.22),  $\langle u_n - x_n, u_n - p \rangle \leq r_n F_1(u_n, p)$ . Note from  $p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$ , we see that  $F_1(p, u_n) \geq 0$ , then  $F_1(u_n, p) \leq -F_1(p, u_n) \leq 0$ , which implies that  $\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \langle u_n - x_n, u_n - p \rangle \leq 0$ . On the other hand, we see that  $I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds$  is monotone, that is,  $\langle (I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)u_n - (I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)p, u_n - p \rangle \geq 0$ . Thus, we obtain from (3.22) that

$$\langle B(x_n) - \gamma f(x_n), u_n - p \rangle \leq (1-\beta_n) \langle B(I - \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)ds)u_n, u_n - p \rangle + \langle Bx_n - Bu_n, u_n - p \rangle. \quad (3.23)$$

Also, we notice from  $\|x_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z^* \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B(I - \frac{1}{t_{n_k}} \int_0^{t_{n_k}} T(s)ds)u_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - p \rangle = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B(x_{n_k} - u_{n_k}), u_{n_k} - p \rangle = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Now replacing  $n$  in (3.23) with  $n_k$  and take  $\limsup$ , we have from (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$\langle (B - \gamma f)z^*, z^* - p \rangle \leq 0, \quad (3.26)$$

for any  $p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$ . This is,  $z^* \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  is unique solution of (3.2).

**Step 6.** We claim that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - z^*, \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^* \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

To show (3.27), we may choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - z^*, \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^* \rangle = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s)u_{n_i} ds - z^*, \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^* \rangle. \quad (3.28)$$

Since  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is bounded, we can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$  of  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges weakly to  $p$ .

We may assume without loss of generality, that  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup p$ , then  $u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup p$ , note from Step 2 and Step 3 that  $p \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  and thus  $\frac{1}{t_{n_i}} \int_0^{t_{n_i}} T(s)u_{n_i} ds \rightharpoonup p$ . It follows from (3.28) that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s)u_n ds - z^*, \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^* \rangle = \langle p - z^*, \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^* \rangle \leq 0$ . So (3.27) holds, thanks to (3.2).

**Step 7.** We claim that  $x_n \rightarrow z^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

First, from (3.14) and (3.27) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.29)$$

Now we compute  $\|x_n - z^*\|^2$  and the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_n - z^*\|^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|z_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|z_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle - 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|) \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle - 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|) \\ & \leq (1 + (\alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 - 2\alpha_n \bar{\gamma}) \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle - 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|) \\ & \leq (1 + (\alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2) \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle - 2\alpha_n \gamma \varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|) \leq \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{2\gamma} \alpha_n \|x_n - z^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle \gamma f(z^*) - Bz^*, x_n - z^* \rangle$ .

By virtue of the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , (3.29) and the condition  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we can conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\|x_n - z^*\|) = 0$ . By the property of  $\varphi$ , we obtain that  $x_n \rightarrow z^* \in \text{Fix}(S) \cap \Omega$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

From Theorem 3.1, setting one parameter nonexpansive semigroup for a single nonexpansive mapping  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two real Hilbert spaces and let  $C \subset H_1$  and  $Q \subset H_2$  nonempty closed convex sets. Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. Assume that  $F_1 : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are the bifunctions satisfying (A1)-(A4) and  $F_2$  is upper semicontinuous. Let  $f$  be a weakly contractive mapping with a function  $\varphi$  on  $H_1$ ,  $B$  a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator with coefficient  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  on  $H_1$ ,  $T$  a nonexpansive on  $C$ , respectively. Assume that  $\text{Fix}(T) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ , then for any  $0 < \gamma \leq \bar{\gamma}$  and let the iterative sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{u_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  be generated by iterative algorithm:*

$$\begin{cases} u_n = J_{r_n}^{F_1}(x_n + \delta A^*(J_{r_n}^{F_2} - I)Ax_n), \\ z_n = (1 - \beta_n)Tu_n + \beta_n u_n, \\ x_n = (I - \alpha_n B)z_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

where  $r_n \subset (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ ,  $L$  is the spectral radius of the operator  $A^*A$  and  $A^*$  is the adjoint of  $A$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  be real sequences satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ; (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ; (iii)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n > 0$ .

Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z^* \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \Omega$  which is uniquely solves the following variational inequality (3.2).

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was supported by the Thailand Research Fund through the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program (Grant No. PHD/0033/2554) and the King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi. This research was partially finished at Niigata University, Japan, for during short study research under Professor Tamaki Tanaka.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alber Ya. I., Guerre-Delabriere S.: Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, New Results in Operator Theory. In: Gohberg I, Lyubich Yu (eds.) Advances and Application, 98, 7–22, Birkhauser, Basel (1997).
- [2] Xu HK., Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, J London Math Soc., 66, 240256 (2002).
- [3] Xu HK., An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, J Optim Theory Appl., 116, 659–678 (2003).
- [4] Yamada I., The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem of the intersection of fixed point sets for nonexpansive mappings, In: Butnariu K, Censor Y, Reich S (eds.) Inherently Parallel Algorithm for Feasibility and Optimization. 473–504. Elsevier, New York (2001).
- [5] Moudafi A., Split monotone variational inclusions, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 150, 275–283 (2011).
- [6] Censor Y., Gibali A., Reich S., Algorithms for the split variational inequality problem. Numer. Algorithm., 59(2), 301–323 (2012).
- [7] Moudafi A., The split common fixed point problem for demicontractive mappings, Inverse Probl. 26, 055007 (2010).
- [8] Kazmi K. R., Rizvi S. H., Implicit iterative method for approximating a common solution of split equilibrium problem and fixed point problem for a nonexpansive semigroup, Arab J Math Sci (2013).
- [9] Kumam P., A new hybrid iterative method for solution of equilibrium problems and fixed point problems for an inverse strongly monotone operator and a nonexpansive mapping, J. App. Math. Comput. 29 (1), 263–280 (2009).
- [10] Marino G., Xu HX., A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, J Math Anal Appl. 318, 43–52 (2006).
- [11] Shimizu T., Takahashi W., Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings, J Math Anal Appl., 211, 71–83 (1997).
- [12] Xiao X., Li S., Li L., Song H., Zhang L., Strong convergence of composite general iterative methods for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup and equilibrium problems, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 131, (2012).
- [13] Ceng LC., Tanaka T., Yao JC., Iterative construction of fixed points of nonself-mappings in Banach spaces. J Comput Appl Math., 206, 814–825 (2007).
- [14] Ye J., Huang J., Strong convergence theorems for fixed point problems and generalized equilibrium problems of three relatively quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, J Math Comput Sci., 1, 1–18 (2011).

(Jitsupa Deepho) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT), 126 PRACHA UTHIT RD., BANG MOD, THRUNG KHURU, BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND  
E-mail address: jitsupa.deepho@mail.kmutt.ac.th

(Poom Kumam) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT), 126 PRACHA UTHIT RD., BANG MOD, THRUNG KHURU, BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND  
E-mail address: poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th

(Tanaka Tamaki) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN  
E-mail address: tamaki@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp